# TEAK TREE INVENTORY AND AUDIT REPORT-2022 

CONDUCTED FOR

## ASIA TEAK GROUP

AT

## CHON DEAN 224 ESTATES, PHETCHABUN PROVINCE THAILAND

## Contents

Executive summary ..... 3

1. Introduction ..... 4
1.1. General Introduction of Teak (Tectonagrandis) Plantation .....  .4
1.2. Activities of teak stand maintenance ..... 4
1.2.1. Pruning ..... 4
1.2.2. Thinning ..... 4
1.3. Spacing ..... 5
1.3.1. Teak growth parameters ..... 5
1.4. Positions of diameter measurement at different conditions ..... 6
1.5. Tree height measurement ..... 7
1.5.1. Method of tree height measurement ..... 7
1.5.2. Plot size: ..... 8
2. Results of inventory of teak plantation ..... 9
2.1. Chon Dean 224 ..... 9
Recommendation ..... 12

## Content of Tables

Table 2.1 Number of trees and tree mean GBH values in plots in Chon Dean 224 ..... 9
Table 2.2 Estimated number of trees having more than 66.7 cm GBH in Chon dean 224 teak Plantation. ..... 10
Table 2.3 Sample plots information, planted area and tree inventory data in year 2022 of Chon Dean 224 ..... 10
Table 2.4 Comparison of tree parameters between year 2021 and 2022 in Chon Dean 224 estate ..... 11
Table 2.5 Thailand Teak Plantation tree count. Comparison Tree Audit 2021-2022 for Chon Dean 224 ..... 11
Table 2.6 Determination of site index based on growth parameters of past years of Chon Dean 224 ..... 11
Table 2.7 Tree volume and other growth parameters of plantation were estimated based on age of plantation ..... 12
Content of Figures
Figure 1.1 Diameter tape used for inventory ..... 6
Figure 1.2 Total tree height was measured by hypsometer, used instrument is shown in left side ..... 7
Figure 1.3 Mr. J.m.P. Jayalath is correctly identifying the sample plots in the plantation ..... 7
Figure 1.4 Mr. Paul and Dr. Pai are inspecting the inventory and auditing process ..... 8
Figure 1.5 Adventitious shoots within the butt $\log$ stem need to be pruned ..... 12

## Content of Graphs

## Executive summary

Teak plantation namely Chon Dean 224 , managed by Asia Teak Tropical Plantation was inspected by Mr.Paul Rookwood, Mr.J.M.P. Jayalath, and me on 2022.5.24-25. Due to Corvid-19 travel restriction, Thailand inventory team collected the tree data in year 2021. In this year all the sample data were collected throughout audit process under close supervision of us. Inventory data collected from this plantations were computerized, analyzed by us to prepare this report.

## Chon Dean 224 estate

Nineteen sample plots having with total sample area of 2736 m 2 have been permanently setup in different locations in Chon Dean 224 estate which consist plots area of $2016 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ from B2 and $720 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ from B3. It is found by this study that total estimated planted area is 2.56 ha ( 1.92 ha from B2 and 0.64 ha from B3) and sample plots represent $10.6 \%$ of population. In this study, 129 trees were measured for DBH measurement.Due to unavoidable circumstance of Covid 19, Tree height measurement, total block tree number, good trees, tree marked for thinning and reserved tree were not taken in this year.

The inventory ( tree count data of year 2020) results shows that there are 1235 trees. The average tree GBH in the estate 224 is 29.5 cm (DBH-22.1 cm) \{GBH of B2-66.7cm, GBH of B3-72.4cm\}. It is found that average trees per ha is 473(B2-461, B3-486). Details of block wise information are shown in table 2.3-2.3 and page 10-11.

After analyzing the tree growth rate and other relevant factors, the age of the plantation can be estimated as approximately 16 years old, planted in 2006. Based on that and mean GBH value for year $2022(69.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ the mean increment for GBH can be calculated as 4.3 cm per year. However we have DBH data only for 2018,2020 and 2021. Therefore it is not possible to find correct periodic increment for the plantation. However periodic increment of GBH from 2018 to 2022 is 3.2 cm and 2.5 for B2 and B3 sites respectively.

Analyzing inventory tree data it is found that more than $53 \%$ of trees are having GBH more than mean GBH of respective blocks (see graph 3.1 in page 9 ). These findings can be used for future planning of thinning and final mode of harvest. If we carefully and scientifically handle this valuable tree information, we will able to achieve highest turnover from these two blocks at end of felling rotation.

Finally it can be concluded that these two sites of teak plantation are healthy and good condition according to received information. Last year the current annual increment (GBH) of two sites is 5.2 cm . There are much more potential to get more growth increment particularly for tree stem diameter for next coming years if the plantation is maintained and managed scientifically.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. General Introduction of Teak (Tectonagrandis) Plantation

Teak (TectonagrandisL.f.) is a highly valuable timber in International trade sought by wood industries to produce good quality furniture and wood for house construction, carving, shipbuilding and many other purposes andTeak is an important timber species for tropical forestry. Today teak is a profitable plantation crop promoted by government agencies, the private sector and farmers.Teak plantations are widely established across Indonesia,Thailand, Sri Lanka etc. in some places, they have become an inseparable part of local cultural and socioeconomic systems.

## Bole form

Fluting (irregular involutions and swellings) in the teak stem has been observed in a number of plantations. In some study, the mean heritability value of stem straightness was found to be 0.83 , indicating that the character for stem straightness is strongly controlled by provenance and is thus genetically inherited (Kaosaard, 1999). Hence, fluting can be minimized if the appropriate provenance is used in breeding trials to produce plants that exhibit straight stems. The most important form characteristic determining the value of teak logs is the length of the clear bole.

### 1.2. Activities of teak stand maintenance

Teak grows well, grows fast, and produces high-quality timber when the land and trees are well maintained. Maintenance includes weeding, fertilizing, replanting, pruning, thinning, maintaining coppices and controlling pests and diseases.

### 1.2.1. Pruning

Pruning is the removal of branches which increases clear bole height and reduces knots on the main stem


Recommended height to which branches should be pruned

### 1.2.2. Thinning

By competition for light, water and nutrients is greater in closely spaced plantations causing slower tree growth and tall, skinny stems. Thinning will encourage better growth for the good quality trees that remain.

### 1.3. Spacing

The spacing of trees and the number, timing and intensity of thinning strongly affect the pattern of growth and the yield of the plantation. If thinning is practiced late, growth rates decline or cease, whereas if the stand is thinned too early or too heavily, the trees have a greater tendency to produce side branches and epicormic shoots. This also reduces the potential yield of the plantation since growth is diverted from the main stem, which should be free from defects such as those caused by side branches and epicormic shoots.

Table A: Trees left after thinning based on tree height

| Tree height <br> (m) | Trees remaining (trees/ha) | Age (yr) (range based on soil fertility) | Spacing (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.0-13.0 | 1300-1500 | 5-11 | 2.5-3.0 |
| 13.5-15.5 | 1000-1100 | 7-17 | 3.0 |
| 15.5-17.0 | 800-850 | 10-21 | 3.5 |
| 17.5-21.0 | 500-550 | 15-34 | 4.0-4.5 |



### 1.3.1. Teak growth parameters

Height (H) and diameter at breast height (dbh) are the most important measures of tree growth and their relationship is useful in determining site-index, calculating tree volume, evaluating site -quality and predicting future growth of the stand (Jayaraman and Zakrzewski,2001).

Following growth information published by researchers can be used to develop the yield prediction table for present teak plantation of Asia Teak group.

(a) Teak growth curve : DBH against age (b)Teak growth curve : Total height against age

### 1.4. Positions of diameter measurement at different conditions

We followed following standard governing rules when take measurement of diameter at breast height of tree stem. Ex: clean the bole surface where we measure the stem diameter, diameter tape always correctly handled and read data carefully for reporting.


Figure 1.1 Diameter tape used for the inventory

### 1.5. Tree height measurement

Height is a tree variable that is used to estimate or determine the volume of a tree. The total height is the distance between the ground and top of the tree and bole height is the distance between the ground and the Crown Point.Merchantable height: the distance between the ground and the terminal position of the last useable portion of the tree stem.Tree height is defined to be the perpendicular distance between the ground level and the top of the tree. While, Tree length is the distance between the stem foot and the top along the stem

### 1.5.1. Method of tree height measurement

There are two methods; one is direct method which involves using height measuring rods only for small trees. Other method we used is trigonometric principles.Sunnto hypsometer used as instrument for this purpose


Figure 1.2. Total Tree height was measured by hypsometer, used instrument is shown in left side


Figure 1.3 .Mr.J.M.P.Jayalath is correctly identifying the sample plots in the plantation.

### 1.5.2. Plot size:

(i) $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 12 \mathrm{~m}=144 \mathrm{~m} 2$ plots in Chon Dean 224
$=$


Sample plot with 9 trees.
A part of plantation


Figure 1.4 Mr.Paul and Dr.Pai are inspecting the inventory and auditing process.

## 2. Results of inventory of teak plantation

### 2.1. Chon Dean 224

## Plantation name: Chon Dean 224- Block No.B2 and Block No.B3

Table 2.1 Number of trees and its mean GBH values in Chon Dean 224

| Plotnumber (P) | Block B2 |  |  | Block B3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No.of trees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean GBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Mean height(m) | No.of Trees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { GBH } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | Mean height (m) |
| 1 | 9 | 60.3 |  | 8 | 62.1 |  |
| 2 | 6 | 58 |  | 7 | 78.3 |  |
| 3 | 5 | 73.8 |  | 7 | 73.1 |  |
| 4 | 8 | 65.9 |  | 6 | 72.8 |  |
| 5 | 9 | 65.6 |  | 7 | 75.9 |  |
| 6 | 5 | 77.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 9 | 71.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 63.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7 | 65.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 7 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 7 | 69.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 8 | 65.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 7 | 64.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.1 | 66.7 cm | Mean | 7 | Mean | 14 m |
| TOTAL | 94 | (DBH 21.2 cm ) | 12-13m | (35) | 72.4 <br> (DBH 23.1) |  |

Graph 3.1 Number of trees against to mean ranged GBH values in Blocks in Chon Dean 224


Out of 94 of trees, 45 trees are having more than $66.7 \mathrm{GBH}(21.2 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{dbh})$.

It can be assumed that in block no.2. out of 922 trees, There are 441 trees having more than GBH of 66.7 cm category


Out of 35 of trees， 24 trees are having more than GBH 72.4 cm （ dbh－23．1 cm ）
It can be assumed that in block no．3．out of 313 trees，There are 214 trees having more than 72.4 cm GBH category

Table2．2．Estimated number of trees having more than 66.7 cm GBH in Chon dean 224 teak Plantation．

| Estate | Block no． | Larger no．of trees， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 텛 } \\ & \text { だ } \end{aligned}$ | B2 | 441 （ $47 \%$ ）more than 66.7 cm GBH in Blocks and its \％ |
|  | B3 | 214 （68\％）more than 72.4 cm GBH in Block and its \％ |
| ジ | Estate total | 655 （53\％）more than mean GBH of Each Blocks． |

Table 2．3．Sample plots information，planted area and tree inventory data in year 2022 of Chon Dean 224

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{O} \\ & \dot{B} \\ & \underline{e} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "̄ } \\ & \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { డ్ల్ } \\ & \text { だ } \end{aligned}$ | B2 | 922 | 1.92 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & (12 \times 12 \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{x} 14) \end{aligned}$ | 94 | 461 | $\begin{aligned} & 66.7 \\ & \text { DBH) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ẽ | B3 | 313 | 0.64 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 720 \\ & (12 \times 12 \mathrm{mx}) \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 486 | $\begin{aligned} & 72.4 \\ & \text { DBH) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Total | 1235 | 2.56 | 19 | 2736 | 129 |  |  |  |
|  | Ave． |  |  |  |  |  | 473 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.5 \\ & \text { DBH ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $14-15 m+$ |

Note：Total trees in Blocks in 2021 are used for this year too．

Table 2.4 Comparison of tree parameters between year 2021 and 2022 in Chon Dean 224 estate

|  | Block no. | No. of Plot S | Year 2021 |  |  |  | Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. of trees measured for GBH | No of trees for ha. | Average GBH(DBH )(cm) | Ave. <br> Height <br> (m) | No. of trees measured for GBH | No trees ha. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of } \\ & \text { for } \end{aligned}$ | Average GBH (cm) | Variance in GBH (DBH) $(\mathrm{cm})$ 2022 vs 2021 |
|  | B2 | 14 | 96 | 476 | $\begin{aligned} & 61.8 \\ & (19.7) \end{aligned}$ |  | 94 | 461 |  | 66.7 | 4.9 (1.56) |
|  | B3 | 5 | 35 | 486 | $\begin{aligned} & 66.9 \\ & (21.3) \end{aligned}$ |  | 35 | 486 |  | 72.4 | 5.5 (1.75) |
|  | Estate average |  |  | 481 | $\begin{aligned} & 64.37 \\ & (20.5) \end{aligned}$ |  | 64 | 473 |  | 69.5 <br> (DBH <br> 22.1 cm ) | $5.2(1.65) \mathrm{cm}$ |
|  | total | 19 | 131 |  |  |  | 129 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.5.Thailand Teak Plantation tree count.
Comparison Tree Audit 2021-2022
Due to covid-19 endemic circumstance, Total tree number (good/reserved trees) were not counted in this year)

| Estate Name | Block number | Geophysics count trees 2020 |  |  |  | Geophysics count trees 2022 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total good trees | Marked for thinning | Reserved trees | Total trees | Total good trees | Marked for thinning | Reserved trees | Total trees |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chon Dean } \\ & 224 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B2 | 787 | 135 | 0 | 922 |  |  |  | 922 |
|  | B3 | 290 | 23 | 0 | 313 |  |  |  | 313 |
|  | Total all blocks | 1077 | 158 | 0 | 1235 |  |  |  | 1235 |

Table 2.6. Determination of site index based on growth parameters of past years of Chon Dean 224.
Chon Dean 224 plantation age (planted in 2006) is assumed 16 years old.

|  |  |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | GBH differences from 2018 to 2022 and (Mean Increment of GBH) (cm) and periodi increment of GBH\{\} 12.7 (4.2) \{3.2\} |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\dot{\dot{\theta}} \stackrel{n}{\theta}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Ave. <br> DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. <br> DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. <br> DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. <br> DBH <br> (cm) | Ave.GBH <br> (DBH)(cm) | Ave.GBH (DBH) (cm) | Ave.GBH (DBH) (cm) | Ave. GBH (DBH(cm) | Ave. GBH (DBH)(cm) |  |
|  | B2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & (17.2) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60.3 \\ & (19.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.8 \\ & (19.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.7 \\ & (21.2) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्व } \\ & \text { İ } \end{aligned}$ | B3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 62.5 \\ & (19.9) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66.2 \\ & (21.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.9 \\ & (21.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.4 \\ & (23.1) \end{aligned}$ | 9.9 (4.5) $\{2.5\}$ |
| EJ | Esta aver |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 58.2 \\ & (18.5) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 63.2 \\ & (20.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.3 \\ & (20.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.5 \\ & (22.1) \end{aligned}$ | 11.3 (4.3) $\{2.8\}$ |



Figure 1.5 Adventitious shoots within the butt log of stem need to be pruned.
Table 2.7 .Tree volume and other growth parameters of plantations were estimated based on age of plantation, form factors and inventory data of plantation

| Tree age or inventory year 2022 |  | AGE OF THE PLANTATION IS 16 YEARS OLD, Planted year 2006 (according to owner) AND FORM FACTORIS 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block NO. | Total trees | No. of stems/ha | DBH (cm) | Height (m) | Per Tree volume $(\mathrm{m} 3)$ | Trees volume m3/ha | Total volume in block ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | MAI $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3 /}\right.$ ha/year $)$ |
| B2 | 922 | 461 | 21.2 | 13-14 | $0 . .21$ | 96.8 | 193.6 | 6.05 |
| B3 | 313 | 486 | 23.1 | 15-16 | 0.28 | 136 | 87.6 | 8.5 |

## Recommendation

1. Boundaries of sample plots should be demarcated by concrete large peg or posts for easy reference.
2. To produce premium timber quality logs, Pruning of the adventitious shoots should be carried out only after required training given under close supervision.
3. Control fire or fire lines must be properly maintained.
4. Three trees in samples plots have been lost in block 2. There are no missing trees in sample plots area in Block 3. This matter will have to be monitored.
5. It is observed that average growth of 5.2 cm GBH has been increased in the last year. If this trend is continued we can obtained the GBH of 90 cm tree at end of next four years.

Finally it can be concluded that this teak plantation is healthy and good condition. Plantation is much more potential to get more growth increment particularly for diameter growth for next 4 years if the plantation is maintained and managed scientifically. Because Last year the current annual increment (GBH) of two sites is 5.2 cm . This is significant growth improvement .Please refers the growth parameters described in results section of this report.

